

Submitted to Future Grant Support for Forestry
Submitted on 2023-03-20 18:59:21

Ministerial Foreword - Forestry in Scotland is a sector that we can be justly proud of.

1 - Introduction and Rationale for Providing Grant Support for Forestry

1. Do you agree that grant support for forestry should continue to be improved and developed as a discrete scheme within the overall package of land support?

Not sure

Please explain your answer in the text box.:

If forests and woodlands are run in a manner respectful to nature, these can still bring a profit without necessarily having to rely on government grants.

2. Are there any changes that would allow for better complementarity between the forestry and agriculture funding options?

Yes

Please explain your answer in the text box.:

I have seen a number of videos about food forests. These combine the concept of trees and food crops growing side by side. I have always loved the idea of living surrounded by forest or woodland! But in Scotland it is not allowed to live in one's own forest or woodland if one buys such land. I find this very wrong. I love the idea of living far enough away from other people not to hear them, shielded by outside noise by the trees.

Apart from growing actual vegetables and fruit crops among woodland trees, I would want to also have mushrooms and different types of wild berries growing there.

I would love to have a couple of horses and ride them along the paths I would in part probably have to create.

Grow Your Own and Food Forests and Permaculture have become a big thing in recent times with all the attacks on humanity and learning ever more about how we are being poisoned by large food corporations,[Redated]. Therefore, it would be wonderful for many of us to have the opportunity to buy our own forest or woodland land and live on it!

2 - Forests Delivering for Scotland's Climate Change Plan

3. How can the support package for forestry evolve to help tackle the climate emergency, to achieve net zero, and to ensure that our woodlands and forests are resilient to the future climate?

Please explain your answer in the text box.:

There is no climate emergency. The climate fluctuates naturally over time. And Carbon Dioxide is the gas of life, for goodness sake! You deprive plants of carbon dioxide and they cannot photosynthesize and produce the oxygen they and we humans and all other life on this planet need to live!

I agree we need to reduce heavy metals and carbon MONOXIDE levels in the air, but this drive to get humanity to drastically reduce Carbon Dioxide is, I am sorry to say, an effort to destroy all life on this planet. You need to grasp the FACT that humanity has been manipulated for thousands of years by Draco Reptilians of the Ciakarr Empire and their buddies the Nebu Greys, and both sorts of evil ETs cannot live in our air. Our gases of life are poison to them, so they have not been able to manifest here, only briefly. Elena Danaan has brought us lots of very detailed information on all this in the last two years or so. I urge you to look her up!

By the way, look up www.deagel.com too, a British military site. In 2020, when the Covid human extermination campaign by these evil creatures and their evil human minions, many of us found lists of all countries in the world there with current populations in 2020 and how many would remain in 2025. Particularly in Britain, most of the population would be gone by then, from around 65 million to 15 million! And you must know about all the excess deaths registered since 2021 with the start of the injections?...

We are soon going to get magnetic energy, permanently produced out of the ether and free. That energy is totally ecological too. Because it is free to obtain and use, naturally the fossil fuel corporations, Rockefeller's Standard Oil at the front, have never wanted us to have that. Nikola Tesla had succeeded producing it during his experiment with the Wardencliffe Tower on Long Island, especially built for him about a century ago now, and he drove a car at 90 mph as often as he wanted! Naturally, all this was suppressed... But now it's coming... So, no more worries about our forests in this sense.

4. Private investment through natural capital and carbon schemes can make a valuable contribution to climate change. Do you agree that the grant support mechanism should have more flexibility to maximise the opportunities to blend private and public finance to support woodland creation,

Yes

Please explain you answer in the text box.:

Yes, but only in the way I have described in the previous question. Carbon points are to the detriment of agriculture and those companies are thus allowed to continue to pollute all our air and probably water too. So, no difference and that pollution isn't always of the carbon dioxide type, but much more dangerous for all life!

And everyone converting everything to electric is NOT AT ALL more ecological! It can be, but where does most of the electricity come from? So-called fossil

fuels. And wind and solar only work in favourable conditions. In Scotland, we have a lot of water power too and that is truly feasible, but also needs to be managed carefully, so as not to endanger the life in and around our rivers and lochs.

5. How could the current funding package be improved to stimulate woodland expansion and better management across a wide range of woodland types, including native and productive woodlands?

Please explain your answer in the text box.:

By helping everyone with a low income to also acquire some woodland or some suitable land where more trees can be planted. Then, we should be allowed to have our home there and stabling for our animals if we want to have some. There are so many people who would love to live in such an environment, but can't afford to and like I mentioned before, in Scotland humans can't live on their forest or woodland property like people in probably all other countries in the world can. Just look at all the videos on Youtube these days of happy people living in their woodland and forest, where their trees are capital too, which can first of all help them to buy that land and then, whenever something major needs to be bought, a few more trees are felled and sold.

There could even be many more jobs for those who wish to work with horses transporting the felled trees out to a road....

And all this can be discussed with the government or forestry people too. I am sure all ordinary people would be very happy to grow native trees rather than those imported fast cash-crop varieties. Native trees like Rowans that provide berries for wine, jelly and food for birds; Hawthorn, Elder with flowers and berries to use; pines and fir trees for very healthy teas from their needles! Containing Suramin, a powerful remedy against Spike Proteins shed on everyone by the Covid injected and as highly recommended by US scientist Dr. Judy Mikovits.

I'm sure there are many more to include here!

6. Do you agree that it should be a requirement of grant support that woodlands are managed to ensure that they become more resilient to the impacts of climate change and pests and disease?

Yes

How can the grant scheme support this?:

Again, Climate Change has been imposed on us by the evil Elite. Have you heard of HAARP? (High-Frequency Active Auroral Research Programme) Very likely not. This is a system that manipulates the ionosphere in such a way that it alters usual weather patterns dramatically. This is what they have been doing to us to try and convince us that our climate is indeed changing! Also Chem-Trails have poisoned the air everywhere. But fortunately, there are Good forces working very hard behind the scenes; the Earth Alliance, who have been working with the Galactic Federation of Worlds, the Good ETs, without whom they would never have been able to defeat the evil Elite. All the top tiers of the Elite, for example in politics and banking have been taken out. We are seeing doubles, actors with face masks, clones... But the lower tiers are still continuing to play out this script to show all those who have not been aware of what has been happening over the last few years where we would have been going if these evil ones had got their way. All will thankfully be very well indeed in the not so distant future and then we can deal with the pests and diseases so many of our poor trees have had to suffer from.

3 - Integrating Woodlands on Farms and Crofts

7. Which of the following measures would help reduce the barriers for crofters and farmers wanting to include woodland as part of their farming business? Please select all that apply.

Better integration of support for woodland creation with farm support mechanisms, Knowing where to get reliable advice, Flexibility within options, Support with cashflow, Information on how current land use could continue with trees integrated throughout

Are there others not listed above?:

Can tenant farmers in Scotland profit from their creation of woodland or forest? I believe so and hope that they would not have to share anything with the land's owners. Because then it might not be as profitable for them... In Germany, for example, I have all my life seen farmers growing Christmas trees in one corner or other, too, and of course they would then have the profit from that. Land ownership or tenancy in Scotland should make this sort of thing much easier too.

8. Establishing small woodlands can have higher costs. What specific mechanisms would better support small scale woodlands and woodland ownership?

Please explain your answer in the text box.:

Allowing people to live on their land and create a Food Forest, for example, as I have already explained in previous answers. You grow much more attached to your land when you live on it. Communities can be created with such woodland and forest dwellers and they can help each other, also when some of them have to leave for a spell, either for a holiday or for some serious reason. Produce can be exchanged, so that not everyone grows the same things and people can specialize in what they are best at growing or producing.

4 - Forests Delivering for People and Communities

9. How can forestry grants better support an increase in easily accessible, sustainably managed woodlands in urban and peri-urban areas?

Please explain your answer in the text box.:

Ah, yes. Many people may wish to live in a more urban environment, but not have their forest or woodland too far away.

And then, of course, outdoor school classes can be conducted to teach children how to behave responsibly in nature and how to use nature responsibly

and sustainably.

Woodland walks could be created for people to simply stroll along them to recharge their batteries. I am originally from Germany and this has always been a big thing there! Our forests and woodlands are sort of sacred to us. They protected us from being completely overrun by the Romans long ago too! We have forest walks and Fitness Walks with occasional gyms made from wood along the way too. And at the end of these walks, especially on a Sunday afternoon, everyone tends to end up in some nearby cafe with cake and coffee or tea, so that is big business too.

10. How can grant support for forestry better enable rural communities to realise greater benefits from woodland to support community wealth building?

Please explain your answer in the text box.:

In part, I expect it can be done in the old way, because timber will always be an important building material.

But once rural communities have largely morphed into the croft-size or bigger farm and woodland entities, then I believe the whole current set-up needs to change accordingly, to suit that new life-style. Where there is a will and much love, there is a way to get things right in the end...

11. How can the forest regulatory and grant processes evolve to provide greater opportunities for communities to be involved in the development of forestry proposals?

Please explain your answer in the text box.:

By offering what I have explained in several previous answers already. There is a great hunger now for living out in nature, so this must be guided so that nature doesn't suffer through ignorance by probably a minority, but every individual causing unnecessary harm to nature is one too many!... There is already lots of information out there and it must be brought together to devise the right thing for each area and community. And people should not be treated like children by those with Degrees and such. That is vital. Nobody is superior to anyone else. We are all equals, just with different skills and knowledge, which can be shared.

12. How can the forestry regulatory and grant processes evolve to ensure that there is greater transparency about proposals and the decisions that have been made on them?

Please explain your answer in the text box.:

"Greater Transparency about Proposals and the decisions that have been made on them" smacks to me once again of government bodies consulting us, but ultimately doing what THEY decide and want. All this would work much better if the population as a whole is involved or everyone who wishes to be. Together we can probably find an optimum project.

Have you, by the way, heard of Putin giving away land to anyone who wishes to live on it and grow their own food? That is a scheme that has been going on for many years now, I have heard, and there was even a Ted Talk on it some time ago. Of course, Russia is FAR bigger than Scotland, but then we don't have anywhere near as many inhabitants here as they have there, either...

13. Forestry grants have been used to stimulate rural forestry businesses by providing support with capital costs. Do you agree that this has been an effective measure to stimulate rural business?

Not sure

a. How could this approach be used to support further forestry businesses?:

Once all taxes have been abolished minus one on new luxury items, which is also coming soon, rural and any other businesses will thrive much more anyway and so will employees when no more income tax has to be paid. Just imagine...

b. How could this approach be used to support further skills development?:

Anyone who wishes to train new staff will probably be able to do that better than without financial help. That will vary from firm to firm and how keen they are to expand. Once most taxes have been abolished, there many not be the same hunger or need to expand?...

14. How could the FGS processes and rules be developed to encourage more companies and organisations to provide training positions within the forestry sector?

Please explain your answer in the text box.:

I don't have enough insight into that to be able to comment.

5 - Forests Delivering for Biodiversity and the Environment

15. The primary purpose of FGS is to encourage forestry expansion and sustainable forest management, of which a key benefit is the realisation of environmental benefits. How can future grant support better help to address biodiversity loss in Scotland including the regeneration and expansion of native woodlands?

Please explain your answer in the text box.:

I'm not sure. I just know that farmers need to be able to earn sufficient money with their produce on the land available to them. I also know that all taxation for everyone will be abolished soon, except a tax on NEW luxury items.

Therefore, once this comes into play, farmers would not be under such pressure to produce so much and could hopefully return to having hedgerows bordering their fields, hay meadows and pastures too. This would also greatly increase biodiversity once more! Fertile agricultural land should NOT be transformed into forest and like I have said in my previous answers, if those people who wish to acquire a piece of available forest or woodland could also live on it, there could be a concerted effort to create something BEAUTIFUL, together with newly planted woodland on marginal land.

16. Herbivore browsing and damage can have a significant impact on biodiversity loss and restrict regeneration. How could forestry grant support mechanisms evolve to ensure effective management of deer populations at:

Landscape scale?:

Scotland has a particular problem with this, thanks to its huge hunting estates where all the large carnivores have been exterminated long ago. Carnivores who would have done the job for us. See the Yellowstone experiment after the re-introduction of wolves... It is a huge waste to have to periodically cull several 1000 red deer because there are no predators to manage their numbers, in order to prevent them converting the Highlands into a desert and cause their own extinction. Wolves and other carnivores who prey on deer only take out the old, weak and sick. Some babies too, but that too is in aid of keeping the numbers in check. But people here insist on keeping large flocks of sheep and with this Climate Change Agenda the cattle who could have defended sheep from predators too, I'm thinking Highland cattle here, are supposed to be abolished to reduce the Carbon Dioxide in the air to deprive the plants of that and prevent them from producing oxygen for all of us.

That Agenda is evil!

Of course, Llamas seem to be very good protectors of livestock too if one doesn't want to go into guard dogs like Turkish Kangals, Pyrenean Mountain Dogs, Spanish Pyrenean Mastiffs or Italian Maremmans, as many livestock farmers are already having to do in Germany, for example, where many wolf packs now abound again after migrating westwards from the East...

Also, people are forbidden to hunt deer on these estates, because the greedy owners want them all for themselves and for their paying customers. Yet, there are never enough shot by those, as we have heard much already. I'm sure that red deer were not a problem during the clan system, where all members of a clan could hunt them on their land to fill their larder. And what's worse, these owners of large hunting estates don't have to pay any taxes, while farmers and crofters have to. Where is that fair?!

Small scale mixed land use?:

Like in crofts? Yes, that would be an excellent option which many people would love to take up if given a chance! That would fit in perfectly with "Grow Your Own"! As I have already described in earlier answers.

If you wish to make any other relevant comments, please do so in the text box below.

Please add your comments here.:

About you

What is your name?

Name:
[Redacted]

What is your email address?

Email:
[Redacted]

Are you responding as an individual or an organisation?

Individual

What is your organisation?

Organisation:

Scottish Forestry would like your permission to publish your response. Please indicate your publishing preference:

Publish response only (without name)

We may share your response internally with other Scottish Forestry policy teams who may be addressing the issues you discuss. They may wish to contact you again in the future, but we require your permission to do so. Are you content for Scottish Forestry to contact you again in relation to this consultation exercise?

Yes

I confirm that I have read the privacy policy and consent to the data I provide being used as set out in the policy.

I consent